Reply to Office Action of February 22, 2010

REMARKS

Claims 6-10 and 17-19 are pending in this Application. By this amendment, claims 6, 7

and 18 are amended, and claim 19 is added. No new matter is involved.

Examiner Interview

Applicants thank Examiner Severson for discussing this case with Applicants'

representative on May 20, 2010. During the discussion, the Examiner indicated a proposed

claim 6 would probably patentable define over the applied art, but a further updated search and

detailed review would be required. Accordingly, claim 6 has been amended as discussed during

the interview. New claim 19 is a dependent claim presenting shape features from claim 18, and

depends from amended claim 6.

Rejections under 35 USC §103

Claims 6, 10 and 18 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Design Patent 368,965 to Nakata et al. ("Nakata") in view of JP 06-339514 to Sasaki. Claims 7

and 8 stand rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakata in view of Sasaki

and further in view of U.S. Patent 2,532,116 to Monaco. Claim 9 stands rejected under 35 USC

§103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakata in view of Sasaki and further in view of U.S. Patent

1,117,093 to Ripley. These rejections are respectfully traversed.

With respect to claim 18, which has not been amended substantively, Applicants

respectfully submit that claim 18 patentably defines over Nakata in view of Sasaki because these

references, taken alone or in combination, fail to disclose, suggest, or otherwise render obvious

PCL/RJW/la

Page 7 of 8

the claimed combination of features, including wherein the hardness of the first surface of the

plate shaped first tooth-hardening member differs from the hardness of the second surface of the

first tooth-hardening member and/or the hardness of the first surface of the plate shaped second

tooth-hardening member differs from the hardness of the second surface of the second tooth-

hardening member.

As discussed at the aforementioned interview, the portion of Sasaki that is relied upon to

teach a hard center dome portion is clearly not designed to be chewed by an infant nor is it

capable of being chewed by an infant because of its size shape, rigidity and location which

effectively preclude an infant from chewing on it, let alone even getting its teeth around it. Such

a structure teaches away from modifying a chewable toy as suggested.

Accordingly Applicants respectfully request that this rejection be withdrawn.

With respect to dependent claims 7-9, the additional rejections of those claims have also

been overcome as the claims rejected therein are dependent claims and the additionally applied

art also does not teach or suggest the feature recited in the independent claim 6.

New Claim

Claim 19 depends from claim 6 and patentably defines over the applied art at least

because of that reason.

Consideration and allowance of claim 19 are respectfully requested.

PCL/RJW/la

Docket No.: 0051-0226PUS1 Application No.: 10/827,446 Page 8 of 8

Reply to Office Action of February 22, 2010

Conclusion

All of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or

rendered moot. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider all presently

outstanding rejections and that they be withdrawn. It is believed that a full and complete response

has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition

for allowance.

If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite

prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone Robert J. Webster, Registration

No. 46, 472, at (703) 205-8000, in the Washington, D.C. area.

Prompt and favorable consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies,

to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional

fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

By:

ant C. Lewis Reg. No.: 43,368

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Telephone: (703) 205-8000

PCL/RJW:la